

**FORRS – MAY 20, 2010 PUBLIC WORKSHOP
COMMENTS – OTHER ISSUES**

Concern: Motorized use

How paid for? Not paid for if users do it.

Free: Very important because it belongs to the population. Cost? Maybe have a few fundraisings? Approach forest tenure holder and recreation [users?]

Insurance – came up a few times.

Invasive weeds issue needs to be addressed.

Impoverished local economy, therefore low cost recreation key to quality of life. Doesn't generate economic activity, but makes life worth living.

Define values, find key indicators, measure them, both ecological and experiential.

Who will manage the area – KC|TS?

How will conservation values be maintained, e.g. to ensure grizzly bear persistence? By whom?

Huts don't meet any standards.

Huts focus users.

Smithers got \$400,000. We should copy their plan.

Discussion on responsibilities and liabilities associated with taking on this tenure. What are the pros and cons of tenure vs. no action? What is the end goal? What legal responsibilities? What other responsibilities? What does this accomplish? What impact?